

1.	Present : William Martinson (WM), Jonathan Stone (JS), David Gibbs (DG) Ex-Officio: Gavin McLachlan (GM), Richard Hill (RH) Secretary: Grace Martinson (GVM)	Action
2.	Apologies : Yasmin Mayat (YM), Dorelle Sapere (DS), Elwyn Harlech-Jones (EHJ).	
3.	Opening and welcome WM welcomed all and noted apologies. Noted no quorum present. (There are currently 6 ExCo members; Constitution requires a quorum of half plus one, i.e. 4 ExCo Members)	
4.	 Approval of the minutes of the previous meetings: 1 February 2024 29 February 2024 Approval of Minutes: JS proposed; WM seconded. Due to no quorum present, minutes would be circulated to other EXCO members via email. 	GVM
5.	Matters arising from the minutes of the previous meeting - Noted as per portfolios on the agenda.	
6.	 Professionalisation (EHJ/GM/RH) RH noted that DG was going to get hold of Ben Maswinga about the proposed meeting that Ben promised in March 2024. DG stated that an email from Ben had been received stating that Ben would provide a date by the end of April 2024 for a meeting between SAHRA and APHP. RH noted that it would be beneficial to have the meeting before the APHP AGM on the 23rd of May 2024. WM noted for the record that a letter was sent to all members on the 10 March 2024 at 08h07, subject "APHP Status Update on Professionalisation" 	
7.	 Transformation - DS Apology received. DG noted that Quahnita Samie may reconsider her position on APHP and that she is a champion of Transformation. 	



MINUTES OF THE APHP EXCO MEETING HELD ON 11 APRIL 2024 at 16h00 via Zoom Conference Call

F									
	inances - WM								
	• WM presented the Trial Balance as at 31 March 2024(reconciled to the bank statement and pasted in below.								
	Trial Balance								
	АРНР								
	As at 31 Marc	h 2024							
	ACCOUNT CODE	ACCOUNT	ACCOUNT TYPE	DEBIT - YEAR TO DATE	CREDIT - YEAR TO DATE	31 MAR 2023			
	200	Revenue_Member_Professi onal	Revenue		78,730.75	(76,876.00			
	220	Revenue_Member_Candidat e	Revenue		10,320.00	(12,112.50)			
	230	Revenue_Member_Associat e	Revenue		3,800.00	(2,520.00			
	250	Revenue_Application	Revenue		3,400.00	(1,400.00)			
	251	Revenue-Other	Revenue		1,930.00				
	270	Interest Income	Revenue		276.09	(262.00			
	310	Expenses-Other (revenue)	Direct Costs	2,295.00					
	404	Bank Fees	Expense	323.40		26.50			
	412	Consulting & Accounting	Expense	3,197.00		2,990.00			
	413	Consulting - Professionalisation	Expense	24,600.00		47,835.00			
	453	Website Expense	Expense	660.00		660.00			
	477	Secratary_Admin & Bookkeeping	Expense	63,672.11		54,452.77			
	489	Telephone & Internet & Zoom	Expense	3,270.00		2,591.00			
		APHP Standard Bank 73573779	Bank	47,892.11		45,342.45			
	610	Accounts Receivable	Current Asset		3.00				
	800	Accounts Payable	Current Liability		5,520.83	(2,396.50)			
		Revenue_Received in Advance	Current Liability		1,017.00	(2,034.00			
	880		E		40,911.95	(56,296.72			
	960	Retained Earnings	Equity		10,511.55	(00,200112			



MINUTES OF THE APHP EXCO MEETING HELD ON 11 APRIL 2024 at 16h00 via Zoom Conference Call



MINUTES OF THE APHP EXCO MEETING HELD ON 11 APRIL 2024 at 16h00 via Zoom Conference Call

	 WM noted the tab in the spreadsheet indicating Lapsed Memberships. This had to be further investigated to find out why the memberships have lapsed - whether due to high fees, resignations or retirement. GVM pointed out that mostly it is due to non-payment although there was one, Dr Aucamp who resigned as he was not needing the membership. JS suggested that we need to understand the reason for the lapse and find a way to hold on to a 'retired' individual as they can still contribute and may be valuable to APHP. WAM supported this, for example, John Rennie is on the list and would be a valuable member of the APHP. DG supports a category for Retired Membership and noted that Fellow Membership is usually reserved for a person who has served the discipline in an exemplary way and then honoured with a Fellowship and fees are exempt, possibly awarded at an award ceremony. Members should not be dropped when fees are not paid, an arrangement could be reached or a commitment in lieu of fees. DG differentiated - an Honorary Membership means the member is a friend of the discipline but does not quite practice within the disciplines, whereas Fellow Membership is senior, experienced and honoured in the specific field of heritage. JS suggested that ExCo members make suggestions of Honorary Members, GVB to consolidate a list to be discussed at the next ExCo meeting. DG notes that there are many in academia who teach for example History or disciplines that probe heritage or concepts of heritage who aren't necessarily practicing in doing the work of heritage, that could be reached out to and included. For example, the Cape Institute of Architecture, particularly to be more inclusive and welcoming. This may help us with transformation issues too. WM noted the preliminary results of the Google Form survey, that being that to date there are a total of 57 responses; and noted the questions and POPIA approval to show the members contact phone number. The Pr	GVM
10.	Liaison with Heritage Authorities and Related Bodies – DG	



MINUTES OF THE APHP EXCO MEETING HELD ON 11 APRIL 2024 at 16h00 via Zoom Conference Call

DG had a recent query from a non-APHP member, her comment being • that she was struggling with the Heritage Management Officials at the City of Cape Town and HWC. The question was whether or not we can advocate for non-members? Some practitioners were experiencing problems with officials. Certain processes require the heritage management branch to give comments within 30 days, and this is not achieved which upset project timelines. How does one keep those channels of communication cordial and collaborative? DG suggests that we avoid a case of us-and-them and suggested APHP approach the city and find out what their struggles are in terms of communication channels and processes that might not be followed by applicants that might cause the process to be slowed down. JS said that it is worth establishing the credentials of being a member of APHP, a member has been accorded recognition (the qualifications and commitment to a code of conduct) and has credibility that has come from being a registered APHP member. In the same way that submitting to a Provincial Heritage Resources Authority the APHP designation should carry weight as with dealing with the local authority. We should be asking ourselves what we can do as APHP to bolster our credibility with whatever regulatory institution we come in contact with. It is not worth taking up a fight based on someone else's experience, we should map out our own experiences that come with membership. For example the APHP is in good standing with the Gauteng Provincial Heritage Authority. DG noted that many of the officials are not members of APHP. This also speaks to professionalisation as there have been city tenders that call for APHP membership which is not enforceable as APHP is not a statutory body. It may be that APHP needs to do some campaigning and recruiting of officials. GM noted that there is no reason why a client body cannot put in its conditions for submitting tenders that they prefer to work with an APHP member. DG noted that for public tenders it was not allowed. If a professional architect is required, SACAP registration can be a tender requirement, however if a professional is not a member of APHP it does not mean they cannot do heritage work. When a local authority requires a heritage practitioner they are not legally permitted to set



	 APHP membership as a compulsory requirement, but may list APHP membership as a beneficial additional attribute. Staff members of the provincial heritage authorities who are not APHP members, should be invited to apply? DG said that APHP should reach out, although they are not applicants, they are processing applicants, the people managing heritage should be professional. There should be a way to incorporate heritage officials into APHP. There are officials doing Masters of Philosophy in the Built Environment and developing their academic skills and it made sense to include them in APHP. RH said that in EAPASA there were similar issues in relation to officials, at the end of his period as Registrar, 400 environmental assessment practitioners had been registered but few of these were officials. The bulk were private sector consultants whose livelihood depended on the registration. The officials did not register as they were not prepared to pay the fee and because they had difficulty meeting the requirements of the core competencies as the type of work they did was different to the work done by the consultants. DG stated that it is a concern that officials who are processing applications might not be suitably qualified to understand what they are processing, for instance scrutinising and making recommendations would need to have some depth of insight. There needs to be cooperation with continuity of intent and approach between the private sector and public sector. WM provided the names of the newly appointed Council of ECPHRA: Mr Dumisani Sibayi (Chairperson), Ms Veliswa Baduza, Ms Noma-Africa Maxongo, Ms Nqabisa Nongogo, Dr Robert Gess, Mr Gregory Ontong, Mr Thanduxolo Lungile, Dr Ndukuyakhe Ndlovu and Rev. Nozipho Mcineka. 	
11.	 Any other business: Suggested dates for meetings in 2024 ExCo: 2 May, 27 Jun, 25 Jul, 29 Aug, 26 Sep, 31 Oct, 28 Nov.2024 - supported AGM: 23 May - supported 	ALL



-	1	
	Event 2024	
	 DG suggested inviting recent graduates of heritage programmes to present a summary of their theses, not necessarily only the built environment, but also History or intangible heritage. JS suggested a focus on the regeneration of the inner city as a subject - there are initiatives in Johannesburg - the heritage aspects can be seen as positive and generative and could be leading the recovery of places in the inner city. JS also suggested an alignment between heritage and the green lobby - energy consumption and the re-use of facilities as a way of mitigating energy consumption down to the embodied energy of materials. We should broaden our scope of a simple academic record of history and events, and develop in a proactive way to find value in the energy used to assemble places and spaces, objects, the building environment, including their cultural significance - that represent more than only cultural significance but broader environmental initiatives. Glaudin Kruger of Kruger & Associates - SBE2025 WM noted that Glaudin Kruger & Associates had organised a conference on Sustainability in the Built Environment in 2022 and would like to do another in 2025 and was seeking APHP's support. There were no objections. YouTube - an account was opened in preparation to upload videos, the 	
	first would be the talk from the 2023 Symposium by Bruce Eitzen. Graham Jacob's talk needs to be re-recorded as the quality was inadequate	
12.	Date of next meeting: 02 May 2024 @ 16:00.	
13.	Closure The meeting closed at 17:30.	