

# SUBJECTIVITY, INTANGIBLE CULTURAL SIGNIFICANCE AND HERITAGE IMPACT ASSESSMENT

#### INTRODUCTION

- Traditional focus:
  - Tangible heritage & physical condition of heritage sites
  - Significance evaluated on the basis of an objective (?) perception of aesthetic, architectural, visual, economic value
- Disempowering heritage management is in the hands of conservation professionals
- Global move towards more holistic approach intangible heritage
- Growing recognition of social quality in the process of conservation related to social challenges:
  - Loss of social fabric
  - Decrease in neighbourhood vitality
  - Loss of knowledge

## 1996 REPORT OF THE WORLD COMMISSION ON CULTURE AND DEVELOPMENT

- Definitions are too narrow. They are biased towards the elite, the monumental, the literate and the ceremonial.
- Re-assess conceptions & develop better methods of identifying and interpreting heritage.
- Essential to understand the values and aspirations that drove its makers (without which an object is torn from its context and cannot be given its proper meaning).

#### **NHRA**

'LIVING HERITAGE' MEANS THE INTANGIBLE ASPECTS OF INHERITED CULTURE, AND MAY INCLUDE...

- (a) cultural tradition;
- (b) oral history;
- (c) performance;
- (d) ritual;
- (e) popular memory;
- (f) skills and techniques;
- (g) indigenous knowledge systems; and
- (h) the holistic approach to nature, society and social relationships

#### **National Estate**

- May include places to which oral traditions are attached or which are associated with living heritage
- SAHRA must promote the identification and recording of aspects of living heritage associated with heritage resources

#### **VALUE ASSESSMENT**

- Conservation is a value driven process
  - But ICH entails shift away from values-based approach
- Value assessment dominated by professional opinion
- Who is included / excluded?
  - Indigenous inhabitants / communities
  - Living heritage sites with community claims of special strong social, spiritual or other cultural association [ICOMOS Australia 1999, article 12]
- Defining communities and communities' associations with heritage sites can be problematic:
  - Putative community / claimed *special association* / historically fake or questionable historic validity

#### **SUBJECTIVITY**

- Viewed as shortcoming of impact assessment because of politicised evaluations,
   value judgments & simplified assumptions
- Wilkins (2003) takes a different approach:
  - subjectivity is a positive attribute of the impact assessment process
  - should be encouraged in order to promote sustainability and confidence in decision-making
  - EIA also provides an essential forum in which the public, proponents and regulators deliberate on the design and implementation of development
- Informed decision-making is not the only goal:
  - Facilitates / creates discourse around the pertinent issues
  - Promotes the development of values that foster greater social responsibility

#### SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT & CONSERVATION

- More than just 'restoration'
- Must encourage a balanced attitude to the relationship between the old and the new
- Implies sustainable use and not merely maintaining the present condition
- Deals simultaneously with preservation & development:
  - Built environment and landscapes have an essential narrative linking the present and the past through: personal memories, stories, traditions, genealogical links and scientific reconstructions of historical events.
  - Knowledge about what happened in a site or building can guide spatial planning through intangible factors.
- Intangible heritage does have a role to play in identifying which aspects of the built environment should be conserved, as well as reconciling conflicting approaches for how to do this



### SPATIAL PLANNING

- ICH as a potential force to guide spatial planning by providing it with a historical narrative
- Direct implications for spatial planning but consequences are not immediately apparent
  - because the meanings of heritage have shifted from a narrowly aesthetic / historic to one that includes social components
  - makes it difficult to establish definitive meanings for value and significance

#### **CRITICAL THOUGHTS**

- Scope of application: Most of the examples in the literature represent manifestations of intangible heritage that are linked to the identity of a specific community to which the persons concerned belong
- Need to safeguard against social components being used inappropriately to entrench sectarian interests -bearing in mind the cultural significance of living heritage sites, lies in the way of life / social activities associated with traditional communities
- Difficulties in how to measure / manage the social performance
- In order to achieve "integration" & to retain social quality through heritage management practices there is a need for applicable guidelines:
  - to interpret social quality in a measurable way
  - to inform the role that social quality plays through living heritage conservation

#### INTEGRATION OF SOCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

- Dissonance reflects dissatisfaction within regulated community with the status quo that ignores social costs
- Resultant loss of social / public support for conservation
- Parameters for what is integrated and how?
  - The issue of what considerations are relevant/irrelevant must be determined in light of the NHRA based on the facts of the case (site and context)
  - NHRA provides a non-exhaustive list of some of the matters that serve as relevant considerations to living heritage
  - The purpose of the *power* being exercised under the NHRA is also relevant to determine what factors are relevant

#### IN PRACTICE

- Living heritage requires an interdisciplinary body of knowledge:
  - techniques for restoration of historical buildings
  - cultural and social awareness of habits, activities and values which are relevant to protect the cultural significance of the site
- Practical implications?
  - Surveys of built environment
    - need to consider the social quality of living heritage, which is the most vulnerable characteristic impacted by development
  - More readily integrated into section 38 HIA
  - Ever expanding scope of section 34 applications is more complicated
    - Original statutory focus and scope preservation of built fabric
    - Indirectly influencing spatial development through conditions of development through demolition of built fabric